

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,493	03/15/2004		Stephen Fife Sheldon	11466	9307
John D. Cowa	7590 rt	03/29/2007		EXAM	IINER
Teradata Law	IP, WHQ-4W	SANDERS, AARON J			
NCR Corporat 1700 S. Patters				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dayton, OH 4		2168	•		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				03/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/800,493	SHELDON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Aaron J. Sanders	2168		

	Aaron J. Sanders	2168	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo tter than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	iling date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amore hortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see I		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	on openang nambor or many	rojootou oluliilo.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a I sufficient reasons why the affic	i Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attact	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Dote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	/ /,	1
13. Other:			5
	•	FULLYO	
	CIII	TIM VO PERVISORY PATENT EXA	BAINIED
	301	COUNCIDE CON CENTER	WINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that Paulley does not disclose reducing "SE+0", "SE*1", and "SE/1" to "SE" are not persuasive. According to the instant claims, "SE+0", "SE*1", and "SE/1" are reduced to "SE". Said another way, "SE+0" equals "SE", "SE*1" equals "SE", and "SE/1" equals "SE". The statements are tautologies because "SE+0", "SE*1", and "SE/1" will always equal "SE" and are therefore always true. That means that (SE+0 = SE) will always evaluate to true, regardless of the value of "SE".